

Received Date : 24-Sep-2014

Revised Date : 16-Dec-2014

Accepted Date : 15-Jan-2015

Article type : Primary Research Articles

Title: Hurricanes accelerated the Florida-Bahamas lionfish invasion

Running Head: Hurricanes accelerated the lionfish invasion

Authors: Matthew W. Johnston and Sam J. Purkis

Affiliations: National Coral Reef Institute, Nova Southeastern University Oceanographic Center, 8000 North Ocean Drive, Dania Beach, Florida 33004, USA

Corresponding Author: Matthew W. Johnston, National Coral Reef Institute, Nova Southeastern University Oceanographic Center, 8000 North Ocean Drive, Dania Beach, Florida 33004, USA, johnmatt@nova.edu, Phone: 954-262-3641, Fax 954-262-4020

Keywords: computer simulation, invasive species, lionfish, hurricanes, reef connectivity

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/gcb.12874

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract:

In this study, we demonstrate how perturbations to the Florida Current caused by hurricanes are relevant to the spread of invasive lionfish from Florida to the Bahamas. Without such perturbations, this current represents a potential barrier to the transport of planktonic lionfish eggs and larvae across the Straits of Florida. We further show that once lionfish became established in the Bahamas, hurricanes significantly hastened their spread through the island chain. We gain these insights through: 1) an analysis of the direction and velocity of simulated ocean currents during the passage of hurricanes through the Florida Straits and, 2) the development of a biophysical model that incorporates the tolerances of lionfish to ocean climate, their reproductive strategy, and duration that the larvae remain viable in the water column. On the basis of this work, we identify 23 occasions between the years 1992 and 2006 in which lionfish were provided the opportunity to breach the Florida Current. We also find that hurricanes during this period increased the rate of spread of lionfish through the Bahamas by more than 45% and magnified its population by at least 15%. Beyond invasive lionfish, we suggest that extreme weather events such as hurricanes likely help to homogenize the gene pool for all Caribbean marine species susceptible to transport.

Introduction

Marine invasions are rare. The most successful invaders to date, the predatory Indo-pacific lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles*), express diverse invasive traits that led to their intense proliferation in the Atlantic (Barbour et al., 2008; Green and Côté, 2009; Albins and Hixon, 2011; Jud et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2013; Cure et al., 2014). Notwithstanding the impacts that have been quantified for Atlantic lionfish (Morris and Whitfield, 2009; Arias-González et al., 2011; Albins and Hixon, 2013), the long-term effects

of marine invasive species are unknown because of their novelty. Genetic studies suggest that the Atlantic lionfish population was founded by as few as ten individuals, likely aquarium releases (Whitfield et al., 2002; Hamner et al., 2007). Lionfish were first discovered in South Florida waters in 1985, as reported by the United States Geological Survey Non-indigenous Aquatic Species (USGS-NAS) database (USGS-NAS, 2014). This database is the most comprehensive collection of lionfish records in the Atlantic and therefore serves as a valuable documentation of this marine invasion (Schofield, 2010). The literature indicates that 'detection lags', where an invasive animal is noticed and reported only after becoming an abundant nuisance, are ubiquitous with invasive species (Lewin, 1987; Crooks and Soule, 1999; Crooks, 2005). Lionfish were reported from the Bahamas in the year 2004 (USGS-NAS, 2014). The USGS-NAS records, however, are not the product of active monitoring and lionfish are known to be cryptic and often not easily observed (Darling et al., 2011). The year 2004 Bahamian lionfish records, therefore, likely reflect a detection lag that trails the pace of the lionfish invasion. As such, locations where lionfish were documented by the USGS-NAS were doubtlessly infested prior to the reported date, which is important when estimating the realized arrival date of lionfish in the Bahamas.

Once established in the Bahamas, lionfish rapidly dispersed south and east against prevailing Bahamian ocean currents, results supported by the 2014 USGS-NAS database and Johnston and Purkis (2011). This pattern of invasion is curious for two reasons. First, adult lionfish are non-migratory, which likely precludes active movement against prevailing water flow, and second, buoyant lionfish disperse on surface ocean currents and their spread should therefore mimic broad-scale circulation patterns (Whitfield et al., 2002; Hare and Whitfield, 2003; Ahrenholz and Morris, 2010; Jud et al., 2011; Morris et al., 2011; Johnston and Purkis, 2013; Luiz et al., 2013). A distinct bio-geographical and genetic connectivity break has been noted between Florida and the Bahamas, caused by the strong northerly flow of the Florida Current (Carlin et al., 2003; Kool et al., 2010; Richards et al., 2007; Freshwater et al.,

2009, Betancur-R et al., 2011). Genetic studies suggest, however, that Floridian lionfish are closely related to Bahamian lionfish (Freshwater et al., 2009), indicating connectivity across the Florida Current. Up until now, the mechanism by which lionfish have been able to cross the Florida Current shortly after their introduction to South Florida and disperse against prevailing Bahamian currents has not been satisfactorily addressed. This study posits frequent Atlantic hurricanes to be central to explaining the up-current invasion of lionfish through the Bahamas. For brevity, we will adopt the term “storms” in the paper as a term to encompass both hurricanes and named tropical storms.

Storms are capable of causing broad disruption to prevailing local ocean current patterns (Wang et al., 2005; Teague et al., 2006). For instance, as Category 3 Hurricane Ivan passed through the northern Gulf of Mexico in 2004, it generated surface current water velocities as fast as 2.14 m/s with maximum near-bottom (a water depth of 52 m) velocities of 1.35 m/s (Wang et al., 2005; Teague et al., 2006).

Moreover, ocean current velocities of 1.31 m/s were likewise measured during Ivan at a water depth of 84 m, demonstrating that hurricanes are capable to disturb water flow deep into the water column.

Prior to and after Ivan, average current velocities in the northern Gulf measured less than 0.30 m/s (Teague et al., 2006). Importantly, the trajectories of these anomalous currents were aligned with the direction of Ivan’s winds. Anomalies in ocean current velocity and direction (hereafter referred to as OCVD), as measured acoustically during Hurricane Ivan, coincide with those forecasted by the Hybrid Current Ocean Model (HYCOM – see Table 1) (Chassignet et al., 2007; Prasad and Hogan, 2007).

Previous computer simulations of lionfish dispersal which utilized the HYCOM data (Johnston and Purkis, 2011, 2012, 2014a, 2014b), however, lacked the fidelity to capture hurricane-altered water circulation.

Our study postulates that anomalous ocean surface currents, forced by storm-force winds, transported larval lionfish from Florida to the Bahamas prior to the year 2000. We offer quantitative evidence that,

once lionfish established in the Bahamas, storm-induced currents accelerated expansion of the invasive population throughout the Bahamas spanning the years 2000-2007. On the basis of this work, we are able to identify opportunities in which lionfish could have conceivably breached the Florida Current. We also estimate the effect of hurricanes on the progress of the lionfish invasion-front through the Bahamas.

Materials and Methods

To test the hypothesis that strong eastward-flowing water during storms transport lionfish from South Florida to the Bahamas, we first scrutinized the HYCOM daily surface OCVD data for the 2004-2005 hurricane seasons. The HYCOM dataset was selected for study as it is a widely employed and robust coupled ocean-atmosphere prediction model that we deem a useful global approximation of ocean currents upon which to estimate the transport of larval fish (Chassignet et al., 2007). From this examination of daily HYCOM surface OCVD data it was possible to detect water direction and velocity anomalies in the Florida Current that may facilitate cross-stream transport of lionfish larvae. We focused our enquiry on a 40,000 km² expanse of the Florida Straits incorporating South Florida and the northwestern Bahamas (25.5 N to 27.5 N and -80.5 W to -78.5 W) (Fig. 1). For this area, we next implemented a bio-physical computer model, which is an evolution of that previously employed by Johnston and Purkis (2011, 2012, 2014a, 2014b) to simulate the spread of lionfish through the Bahamas. The simulations were founded on a small virtual breeding population in the north central Bahamas that we deemed to be established by larval lionfish transported from South Florida prior to the year 2000. The simulations captured monthly-mean surface OCVD for an average year (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1) with daily

OCVD data for the July-November hurricane seasons of the years 2004 and 2005, when a total of ten storm systems crossed the Florida Straits (Fig. 2b, c).

Analysis of Hurricane-altered ocean currents in the Florida Straits

A fixed 2-D grid represented the study area with a cell size of 10 km × 10 km. Each grid cell contained values corresponding to physical oceanographic conditions, such as water depth, sea surface temperature, and direction and velocity of water flow (Table 1, 2). First, the daily HYCOM OCVD data for the Florida Straits was queried to isolate grid cells that contained water flowing to the north, northeast, east, and southeast. The resultant grid cells were then tallied for each direction and each day spanning the years 2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons. Next, the total counts registered were calculated as a percentage of the total quantity of grid cells containing OCVD data in the Florida Straits (2,539 grid cells) and plotted, with date on the x-axis and percentage of total on the y-axis (Fig. 2b, c). Daily average flow velocities were also computed for each direction and included in the graph, with the date on the x-axis and mean velocity on the y-axis. Ocean currents flowing south, southwest, west, and northwest were not inspected as they do not conduct lionfish spread from Florida to the Bahamas. Anomalies in water flow direction and speed are conspicuous in the daily HYCOM data during the passage of hurricanes and are highlighted on the plots (Fig. 2b, c). The strengths of storms are denoted with small (< Category 3 - weak) to large dots (Category 5 - strong) (Fig. 2 a-c). This diagram is useful to demonstrate alterations in water flow direction and velocity when strong versus weak hurricanes impact the Florida Straits.

The Biophysical Model and Simulations

Four scenarios were modelled, and simulations (each comprised of 10 model runs) with different conditioning parameters were conducted for each. *Scenario one*: HYCOM mean-monthly OCVD data

were used as model inputs, exclusive of all daily storm data (compiled for the year 2006 which is a year without hurricanes in the Florida Straits – see the Implementation of the Model section for a full discussion of the OCVD data used). This scenario serves as a baseline against which results from model runs that consider storm-induced circulation patterns can be numerically compared. *Scenario two:* HYCOM mean-monthly OCVD data, inclusive of the year 2004 daily HYCOM storm season data, were used as model inputs, quantifying the impact of the year 2004 storm season alone. *Scenario three:* HYCOM mean-monthly OCVD data, inclusive of the year 2005 daily HYCOM storm season data, were used as model inputs, quantifying the impact of the year 2005 storm season alone. *Scenario four:* HYCOM mean-monthly OCVD data were coupled with HYCOM daily OCVD data for the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons to parameterize the simulation. The purpose of this fourth scenario was to evaluate whether consecutive storm seasons may further increase the distribution of lionfish larvae and amplify the size and density of reproductive populations when compared to a single storm season.

Our analysis implemented a hybrid cellular automaton and agent-based model to forecast the diffusion, settling capacity, and resulting adult populations of lionfish in the Bahamas. The simulation data provided date-stamped geographic points of settlement of lionfish larvae, which mature to adulthood, and can then be summarized over space and time within a GIS framework. The diffusion and settlement of lionfish larvae throughout the grid was governed by a simple set of rules which were built upon lionfish biological traits and tolerances to water depth and temperature (Kimball et al., 2004; Albins and Hixon, 2011). The mechanics of the model have been reviewed in detail (Johnston and Purkis, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014a, 2014b) and therefore only a brief overview is provided.

Model Overview

The first step towards creating a simulation was to identify the quantities and grid cell locations of breeding lionfish composing the entire simulated population. At the onset of a simulation (as opposed

to a subsequent simulation cycle), the founder population was selected and a temporal timer was started to track the total simulation time. For the duration of the simulation, the timer recorded the date and was incremented by 30 days for each successive cycle (representing one lionfish breeding pulse). The timer was queried throughout the simulation-run to retrieve time-stamped OCVD data from a database of values. Next, the estimated monthly adult mortality rate was applied to the entire population to reflect adult die-off (mortality) per month. For example, if an adult lionfish population comprises 1,000 individuals, 1,000 was multiplied by the monthly mortality rate of 0.052^{-mo} to arrive at 52 lionfish that died during the simulated month. The dead lionfish were removed from the simulation by selecting 52 fish at random, with the remaining fish eligible to contribute larvae in the next iteration of the simulation.

Each mature female lionfish in the population was assumed to breed once per 30-day cycle, producing a defined quantity of larvae, demarcated as q (see Table 2 for all parameter input values and their justification). The survival rate to adulthood (S_a) was calculated for every lionfish larva produced, but only the dispersal of those larvae that survive to maturity was simulated to reduce computational overhead. To calculate S_a , we started with a 100% larval survival rate and then, using lionfish mortality rates for each life stage (M_e , M_l , M_j), decremented the rate each day during the egg (d_e) and larval (d) duration periods. Next, juvenile mortality was imposed per month spanning the juvenile-to-adult transition (a). Finally, the survival rate calculated thus far was multiplied by the quantity of eggs produced per cycle by a single lionfish (q), quantifying S_a . The calculation is summarized by the equation:

$$S_a = (M_e^{d_e})(M_l^{d-d_e})(M_j^a)(q) \quad (1)$$

The proportion of male to female lionfish (f) used was 1:0.85 (46% female). To determine the number of female lionfish (S_p , assuming a companion male lionfish as males are more plentiful than females) which remained viable to breeding age, we multiplied the number of surviving adults by the ratio of females using the equation:

$$S_p = (S_a \times f) \quad (2)$$

S_p represented the quantity of female larvae whose movement through the grid was projected in the simulation.

Lionfish larvae moved passively through the model grid on simulated surface water currents forecast by the HYCOM circulation model (see Table 1 for more about the HYCOM), and so time-stamped water velocity and direction were compiled for each cell in the fixed 2-D grid to allow spatial and temporal forecasts of their movements. The larva transition time, in days, from one grid cell to the next was computed by multiplying the distance between cells (using the geographic coordinates of the cell center) by the water velocity measured in m/s. A stochastic component was included to resolve sub-grid scale processes, such as active larval movement, which were not captured by the model. To integrate these stochastic movements, larvae moved into a randomly chosen neighboring grid cell 5% of the time during each cell-to-cell transition instead of moving into the predicted downstream cell. A larval timer, which kept track of the date, was initiated at the onset of larval movement and was used to track the total time that the individual larva transitioned through the grid. The larval timer was also used to fetch the date-appropriate parameter values from the database for the cell that was occupied by the larvae at any particular moment in time. If the larval timer date fell within the year 2004-2005 storm seasons, and the simulation was flagged to utilize daily storm data, cell values for OCVD data were

Accepted Article
selected from the daily HYCOM dataset for the storm date. If the date fell outside of the year 2004-2005 storm seasons, values were selected from the year 2006 HYCOM monthly-mean dataset, a year that was unaffected by Atlantic storms.

Once the larval timer indicated that the larval duration period has lapsed, the final cell occupied by the larva was selected as a potential settling location. Next, ocean depth and sea surface temperature were retrieved for the cell to evaluate for suitable conditions (see Table 2) in which lionfish larvae were able to settle. If habitable conditions existed in the cell, i.e. water depth shallower than the lionfish depth limit and the yearly minimum and maximum temperatures fell within lionfish tolerances, the cell was marked colonized with an individual lionfish. The lionfish lay dormant until the breeding age was reached, at which time the female lionfish began breeding on the next 30-day simulation cycle.

The logic of selecting breeding lionfish, calculating survival of each spawned larva to adulthood, forecasting larval advection through the grid, and settlement was repeated for every viable (alive) female lionfish for the extent of the simulation. The resulting data provided data-stamped geographic points of settlement of lionfish that were then summarized over space and time in a GIS (see Fig. 3 for a flowchart which graphically illustrates the process).

Implementation of the Model

Following previous employments of the model, we first compiled monthly-mean HYCOM OCVD data for a proxy year, a method that has precedence in the literature (Johnston and Purkis, 2014a, 2014b). In order to completely isolate the effects of storm-driven water on the diffusion of lionfish larvae, the year

2006, a storm-free year, was chosen to represent dates outside of the years 2004-2005 storm seasons.

These data were then coupled with daily HYCOM OCVD data for the 2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons (7/04 – 11/04 and 7/05 – 11/05). The 2004-2005 seasons were chosen because they parallel the time-frame in which lionfish dispersal in the Bahamas was profuse (USGS-NAS, 2013). Though robust, the HYCOM dataset does lack near shore and shallow-bank resolution such as those shallow waters bathing the Great and Little Bahama Banks. Likewise, in locations where the HYCOM was lacking data, we obtained monthly-mean OCVD data for the year 2006 from the Ocean Surface Current Analysis (OSCAR) database (Bonjean and Lagerloef, 2002). Near-shore fit for both the HYCOM and OSCAR data was performed via nearest-neighbor interpolation, providing 100% OCVD data coverage for the entire study area for storm and non-storm years. A small population of 150 reproductive female lionfish in the northwest Bahamas acted as a founder population for running the model (see Table 2 for model inputs).

The precise number of founder lionfish used in the simulations is not important to the overall trends and patterns produced by the model. It should be borne in mind that our model aimed in particular to track the dispersal of a fledgling founding population of lionfish through the Bahamas, and for this reason, it would be illogical to set magnitude of the starting population higher than a few hundred breeding pairs.

For each scenario (no hurricanes, year 2004 hurricanes, year 2005 hurricanes, years 2004-2005 hurricanes combined), 10 simulations were produced spanning the years of study 2000-2007. For every 30 days of model time, an average cumulative lionfish population size was calculated. Next, the quantity and percentage of population difference from the non-hurricane simulation were independently plotted for the three hurricane scenarios, with the year on the x-axis and population change on the y-axis (Fig. 4). The plot conveys the response of lionfish population size to hurricane–altered currents. In order to test the population changes observed for statistical significance, given the expectation that storms do increase the numbers of lionfish (and thus rejecting the null hypothesis, H_0 , that storms do not increase the size of lionfish populations), we performed a one-tailed t-test. The t-test was conducted between

the mean lionfish population size obtained from the 10 sample simulations for scenario one and the mean counts of the 10 simulation runs for each of scenarios two, three, and four. Finally, USGS-NAS lionfish records through the year 2007 were mapped in a GIS in order to contrast the simulation results with actual lionfish reports (Fig. 5a). For the non-hurricane (simulation one) and years 2004-2005 combined simulations (simulation four), the mean numbers of lionfish per grid cell and for three time-steps (<2004, <2006, <2008) were also plotted (Fig. 5b, c). The resulting GIS map depicts lionfish settling locations in the Bahamas for years with and without hurricanes, as documented by our computer simulations.

Results

Storm-altered Water Flow between Florida and the Bahamas

Analysis of the daily 2004-2005 HYCOM storm season data revealed fluctuations in water direction and speed in the Florida Straits, from northerly-dominated flow, which blocks cross-Florida Current transport of lionfish larvae, punctuated by easterly flow when the eye of a storm passes through the Florida Straits (Figs. 1, 2). Category 3 or stronger hurricanes, such as Wilma, Jeanne and Frances affected greater variances in the direction and velocity of surface water flow in the HYCOM data than weak storms, such as Ophelia, Tammy and Gamma (Fig. 2b, c, e).

In the daily HYCOM storm season data, water flow in the Florida Straits fluctuated to the greatest extent when the eyes of strong storms crossed just north of the center of the Straits. When storms follow this path, south-wall winds (i.e. those flanking the south rim of the storm eye) concentrate eastward-flowing water, originating in South Florida, to the Bahamas (Figs. 1, 2b, c, e). When dissecting the data for storms passing from east-to-west which originated in the western Atlantic (such as Frances, Jeanne,

Katrina and Rita), the proportion of north-flowing currents and water velocities dropped by 33% during Katrina and 70% during Frances and Jeanne. In the daily storm season data, these decreases were followed two to ten days later (but averaging two-to-five) by increases in both velocity and northward flow to pre-storm levels or greater (a 33% increase during Katrina) during the passage of the east wall. Accordingly, eastward-flowing currents and also water velocity both opposed this trend, first with a marked increase, followed by a gradual drop-off. The opposite was seen for storms that tracked west-to-east, such as Wilma. Wilma instigated the greatest disruption of water flow in the Florida Straits of all storms in the considered time period, with a maximum eastward velocity of 1.61 m/s and mean of 0.59 m/s, according to the HYCOM data.

Effects of Storms on Lionfish Population Size and Invasion Progress

Simulations built for scenario one (non-hurricane) revealed an average adult Bahamian lionfish population of $3.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.0 \times 10^4$ total number of individuals at the conclusion of 2007. The average difference in population size from scenario one for the three storm simulations were; 1.9×10^4 (a 6% increase in lionfish population) for scenario two (the year 2004 alone), 1.6×10^4 (a 5% increase in Bahamian lionfish) for scenario three (the year 2005 alone), and 5.4×10^4 (15% more Bahamian lionfish) for scenario four (the years 2004-2005 combined) (Fig. 4). Significance testing found t-values of 0.039 (scenario one-to-two), 0.030 (scenario one-to-three) and 5.1×10^{-6} (scenario one-to-four), all values below a 0.05 significance level. As such, we reject H_0 and suggest that lionfish population sizes were amplified when storms are incorporated in the simulation runs. Projecting five years subsequent to the end of the simulation (through the year 2012), and excluding additional storm seasons, the population difference between non-storm and storm simulations was 9.0×10^6 lionfish.

When plotted in a GIS, USGS-NAS lionfish records through the year 2007 are distributed south and east in the Bahamas, with the farthest lionfish record 1,050 km from South Florida (Fig. 5a). Lionfish populations in the non-storm simulation do progress to the southeast, but only reach the edge of the Windward Passage by the end of the year 2007, a dispersal distance of 900 km from South Florida (Fig. 5b). When the year 2004–2005 storm seasons were included in the model, the lionfish invasion-front progresses southeast through the Bahamas more rapidly than if storms were omitted, extending 1,300 km from South Florida spanning the same timeframe (Fig. 5c).

Discussion

Storms Open a Gateway between Florida and the Bahamas

The minimum straight-line distance between South Florida and the Bahamas is 87 km, and water flowing eastward from Florida at 1 m/s will traverse this span in 24 hrs. Storms drive ocean surface and sub-surface water (as witnessed during Ivan to depths of at least 84 m) approximately in the direction of their winds which, in the Northern Hemisphere, is counter-clockwise. For example, south-wall winds, which extend from the southern edge of the storm to the eye (the rotational axis of the storm), blow eastward, and in doing so, direct water generally to the east. The maximum current velocity produced by Wilma, as forecast by the HYCOM data, was slower than the fastest water flow (2.14 m/s) measured during Hurricane Ivan (another Category 3 storm), suggesting that actual water flow velocity realized during hurricanes may be even greater than those velocities predicted by the HYCOM. The flux of lionfish across the Florida Straits forecasted by our model can therefore be considered conservative. In accordance with our simulation, storms passing closest to the Florida Straits, such as Wilma, open transport windows for buoyant larvae spanning two-to-ten days (Figure 2c, e), which is ample time for

lionfish larvae drifting in surface or near-surface waters to traverse the Florida Current barrier in less than 24 hrs.

Spanning the years 1992 to 2003, thirteen storms passed through the Florida Straits and plausibly opened transport windows for lionfish larvae (Fig. 2a). Of these thirteen storms, the eyes of storms Erin, Mitch, Harvey, Irene, Gabrielle, and Michelle passed north of the center of the Florida Straits, and presumably produced and shifted high-velocity water eastward (Fig. 2d). Notably, the storms Mitch (1998), and Dennis, Floyd, Harvey, and Irene (1999) impacted the Florida Straits and the 1999 storms followed in rapid succession, perhaps amplifying the rate of dispersion over a single storm season. Given that the fastest easterly flow in the daily HYCOM data occurred during the passage of such storms, and that detection lags suggest that cryptic lionfish may occupy a location well before their eventual reporting date, we speculate that lionfish breached the Florida-Bahamas barrier sometime during the year 1998 or 1999 hurricane seasons.

Storms Increase Lionfish Population Size and Speed the Invasion-Front through the Bahamas

Lionfish numbers for all simulations exhibited an expanding population with exponential growth, as was forecast by Johnston and Purkis (2011) and also reflected in the USGS-NAS lionfish records. When the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons were analyzed collectively, the increase in lionfish populations in scenario four (15%) was more than the combined increases for the year 2004 (6%) and year 2005 (5%) seasons singularly (Fig. 4). This 4% net increase in lionfish populations can be attributed to a compounding effect, whereas those additional lionfish produced during the 2004 storm season were capable to breed and contribute larval recruits during the course of the year 2005 storm season. The increase observed

Accepted Article
implies that consecutive storm seasons further amplified reproductive populations when compared to a single storm season in the model.

The lionfish invasion-front progresses southeast through the Bahamas more rapidly when the 2004-2005 storm seasons were included than if storms were omitted, extending 1,300 km from South Florida (Fig. 5c). This distance represents a 45% increase in dispersal due to storm-altered water flow. In the 2004-2005 storm simulation, lionfish populations traversed the Windward Passage, settled the Turks and Caicos and bridged northern Hispaniola. The USGS-NAS reports lionfish from southern Cuba and also the Turks and Caicos (but not Hispaniola) by the conclusion of 2007, in agreement with our computer model. Lionfish populations were not forecast by the simulation in any of these three locations when hurricanes were absent (Fig. 5b).

In light of these results, we deem that simulation four, which includes the movement of water wrought by storms for the years 2004 and 2005 (Fig. 5c) more accurately reflects the realized infiltration of lionfish through the Bahamas as compared to the simulation that ignores storms (Fig. 5b). Our data further suggest that lionfish observations from Hispaniola exhibited a detection lag and that the invader bridged the Windward Passage prior to 2007, facilitated by storm-forced ocean circulation.

Computer simulations that mimic bio-physical systems often produce inaccurate results due to data omission, parameter limitations such as data resolution, or flaws in the model logic. To abate potential errors, the life history traits expressed by Atlantic lionfish and used in the study were harvested from the literature (Morris et al., 2011; Johnston and Purkis, 2014a), though these traits are naturally variable and cannot be treated as explicit. The values we used were our best-estimates of the traits exhibited by

Atlantic lionfish. The model we implemented has been previously used to study lionfish and *Chromileptes altivelis* in the Atlantic (Johnston and Purkis, 2011, 2012, 2014a, 2014b) and has been thoroughly tested against variations in parameter input values and proven sensitive to alterations in mortality rate (in the same manner as most fish population models). However, this sensitivity does not adversely affect the dispersal patterns of the species being modeled (Johnston and Purkis, 2013, 2014a, 2014b). As such, the conclusion that storms increase the dispersal of lionfish would not have changed had we chosen to use different parameter values within the variability ranges as documented for Atlantic lionfish.

It should be noted that other relatively sedentary adult fish (for example damselfish) and invertebrates such as conch are found on both sides of the Florida Current, indicating that larval exchanges are predicted across the Straits over time. For lionfish, the Florida-Bahama crossover was swift and ensued less than 20 years after their introduction to South Florida, sooner than would be anticipated given the Florida-Bahamas connectivity break documented in the literature (Carlin et al., 2003; Kool et al., 2010; Richards et al., 2007; Freshwater et al., 2009, Betancur-R et al., 2011). We suggest this rapid transposition may be attributed to storm forced ocean currents. Further, many Caribbean species spawn during the summer months and perhaps may be harnessing the dispersive features of frequent Caribbean storms to better spread around the region. Our results propose that perhaps native Caribbean marine organisms with similar reproductive strategies as to the lionfish may take advantage of storm-altered water flow to enhance distribution of their offspring. Moreover, extreme weather events, such as hurricanes and tropical storms, likely help to homogenize the gene pool in the Caribbean for all marine species susceptible to transport.

We did not incorporate ontogenic migration in our model as these data are noticeably lacking for lionfish. The paucity of migration data, however, is not synonymous with an absence of vertical or horizontal lionfish larval movement. Indeed, many marine fish with planktonic larval stages are capable of ontogenic migrations within the upper 60 m of the water column (though concentrated usually in the upper 20 m) which aid them in traversing strong currents, such as the Florida straits, and in population retention (Paris and Cowen 2004). These movements may also serve to protect larvae from the dangerous surface conditions produced by high wind and churning waves during passing storms, though floating lionfish egg sacs may still be vulnerable to disassociation and subject to higher mortality rates. Still, measurements of OCVD data during storms confirm that current anomalies can extend at least 84 m deep into the water column and are not limited to surface currents (Wang et al., 2005; Teague et al., 2006). It follows that should planktonic lionfish move deeper within the top 60 m of the water column during storms, they would be similarly swept by storm-altered currents as to those lionfish larvae floating in surface waters. Given this, the conclusion that storms accelerated the Florida-Bahamas dispersal of lionfish would likely remain the same had ontogenic vertical or horizontal migration been integrated into the model.

Implications for the spread of Floridian Invasive Species

Atlantic storms transported non-native *Schistocerca gregaria* (African locusts) to the Windward Islands (Richardson and Nemeth, 1991), accelerated the invasion of *Pittosporum undulatum* (cheesewood tree) in Jamaica (Bellingham et al., 2005), and carried *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* (Asian soybean rust) to Louisiana during hurricane Ivan (Stokstad, 2004). However, marine invasive fishes are not documented in the literature as storm-distributed. South Florida coastal waters are a hot-spot for non-native marine introductions (Semmens et al., 2008), and the USGS-NAS database records 33 non-native marine fish

and one crustacean from within the studied Florida Straits. Accounts of *Chromileptes altivelis* (panther grouper), *Penaeus monodon* (Asian tiger shrimp), and *Platax orbicularis* (orbicular batfish) are recorded in the USGS-NAS database and, in common with lionfish, all three species reproduce via pelagic, current-distributed larvae (Motoh, 1981, Sugama et al., 2003; Leis et al., 2013). These three invaders have been recorded in low numbers in South Florida. On the basis of our computer simulations, however, it is conceivable that hurricane-driven ocean circulation could introduce them to the Bahamas if reproductive populations expand. Still, establishment of non-natives introduced to the Bahamas via the Straits is not guaranteed, and it is likely that their success pivots on invasive traits of the inductees that may serve to facilitate their inhabitation. Given this caveat, Bahamian waters provide a much broader marine ecosystem when compared to degraded South Florida habitats where the non-natives have been recorded (Wilkinson, 2008). As such, a favorable environment in the Bahamas may allow species introduced by storms from South Florida to easily establish Bahamian populations.

The recent (2011) discovery of invasive Asian tiger shrimp in South Florida is particularly concerning. Widespread and frequent records suggest that reproductive populations are already established in the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic and may indicate that the shrimp exhibit invasive traits empowering their success in their introduced range (USGS-NAS, 2013). Thankfully, these shrimp are not yet reported from the Bahamas. The Bahamian absence may be attributed to a lack of hurricanes, which we demonstrate are capable to transport pelagic larvae across the Florida Current, impacting the Florida Straits in the years since the shrimp's arrival in South Florida (given a likely detection lag, most likely a few years before 2011). As an aggressive predator of benthic invertebrates, Asian tiger shrimp prey on and compete for resources with commercially important native species such as the pink shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus duorarum*) (Marte, 1980). Should storm-forced currents spread *Penaeus monodon* to

the Bahamas, and also fuel their progress through the Islands, as observed with lionfish, then the consequences to native Bahamian prey could be considerable. Finally, the literature suggests that warming oceans, resulting from anthropogenic climate change, may increase the intensity of Atlantic hurricanes (Webster et al., 2005; Anthes et al., 2006). It is disconcerting that more intense storms may become a common transport mechanism between Florida and the Bahamas for non-native species residing in the Florida Straits. Going forward, the community should be aware that lionfish will likely not be the last invasive marine species to benefit from altered water circulation instigated by hurricanes.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Coral Reef Institute (NCRI) at Nova Southeastern University for support of this study. We also thank Daniel Bird who generously provided an educational grant for access to the Pluralsite library, a set of software courses that were invaluable to hone our modeling algorithm. Dr. Villy Kourafalou provided valuable guidance on the use of the HYCOM dataset. Also many thanks to Dr. Tracey Sutton and Dr. John McManus who provided valuable feedback on our manuscript. We thank the three anonymous reviewers who helped strengthen this paper. Finally, we thank the Oceanographic Center Fishing Tournament Scholarship fund that provided financial support for this research. This is NCRI publication #160.

References

Ahrenholz DW, Morris JA (2010) Larval duration of the lionfish, *Pterois volitans* along the Bahamian Archipelago. *Environmental biology of fishes*, 88(4), 305-309.

Accepted Article

Albins MA, Hixon MA (2008) Invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* reduce recruitment of Atlantic coral-reef fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 367, 233-238.

Albins MA, Hixon MA (2011) Worst case scenario: potential long-term effects of invasive predatory lionfish (*Pterois volitans*) on Atlantic and Caribbean coral-reef communities. *Environ Biol Fish*.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9795-1>.

Amante C, Eakins BW (2009) ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC 24: 19 pp, March 2009.

Anthes RA, Corell RW, Holland G, Hurrell JW, MacCracken MC, et al. (2006) Hurricanes and global warming-Potential linkages and consequences. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 87(5), 623-628.

Arias-González JE, González-Gándara C, Luis Cabrera J, Christensen V (2011) Predicted impact of the invasive lionfish *Pterois volitans* on the food web of a Caribbean coral reef. *Environmental Research*, 111(7), 917-925.

Barbour AB, Montgomery ML, Adamson AA, Díaz-Ferguson E, Silliman BR (2008) Mangrove use by the invasive lionfish *Pterois volitans*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series Vol. 401*: 291-294.

Bellingham PJ, Tanner EV, Healey JR (2005) Hurricane disturbance accelerates invasion by the alien tree *Pittosporum undulatum* in Jamaican montane rain forests. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 16(6), 675-684.

Betancur RR, Hines A, Acero AP, Orti G, Wilbur AE, et al. (2011) Reconstructing the lionfish invasion: insights into Greater Caribbean biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02496.x.

Bonjean F, Lagerloef GSE (2002) Diagnostic model and analysis of the surface currents in the tropical Pacific Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, vol. 32, pg. 2938-2954.

Carlin JL, Robertson DR, Bowen BW (2003) Ancient divergences and recent connections in two tropical Atlantic reef fishes *Epinephelus adscensionis* and *Rypticus saponaceous* (Percoidei: Serranidae). *Marine Biology*, 143, 1057–1069.

Chassignet EP, Hurlburt HE, Smedstad OM, Halliwell GR, Hogan PJ, Wallcraft et al. (2007) The HYCOM (hybrid coordinate ocean model) data assimilative system. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 65(1), 60-83.

Côté IM, Green SJ, Morris Jr JA, Akins JL, Steinke D (2013) Diet richness of invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish revealed by DNA barcoding. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 472, 249-256.

Crooks JA (2005) Lag times and exotic species: the ecology and management of biological invasions in slow-motion. *Ecoscience*, 12(3), 316-329.

Crooks JA, Soulé ME (1999) Lag times in population explosions of invasive species: causes and implications. *Invasive species and biodiversity management*, 103-125.

Cure K, McIlwain JL, Hixon MA (2014) Habitat plasticity in native Pacific red lionfish *Pterois volitans* facilitates successful invasion of the Atlantic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 506, 243-253.

Darling ES, Green SJ, O'Leary JK, Côté IM (2011) Indo-Pacific lionfish are larger and more abundant on invaded reefs: a comparison of Kenyan and Bahamian lionfish populations. *Biological invasions*, 13(9), 2045-2051.

Freshwater DW, Hines A, Parham S, Wilbur A, Sabaoun M, et al. (2009) Mitochondrial control region sequence analyses indicate dispersal from the US East Coast as the source of the invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* in the Bahamas. *Marine Biology*, 156(6), 1213-1221.

Green SJ, Côté IM (2009) Record densities of Indo-Pacific lionfish on Bahamian coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, 28(1), 107-107.

Accepted Article

Hamner, RM, Freshwater, DW, Whitfield, PE (2007). Mitochondrial cytochrome b analysis reveals two invasive lionfish species with strong founder effects in the western Atlantic. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 71(sb), 214-222.

Hare JA, Whitfield PE (2003) An integrated assessment of the introduction of Lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles* complex) to the Western Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Tech Memo NOS NCCOS, p 21.

Johnston MW, Purkis SJ (2011) Spatial analysis of the invasion of lionfish in the western Atlantic and Caribbean. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 62(6), 1218-1226.

Johnston MW, Purkis SJ (2012) Invasionsoft: A web-enabled tool for invasive species colonization predictions. *Aquatic Invasions*, 7(3), 405-417.

Johnston MW, Purkis SJ (2013) Modeling the potential spread of the recently identified non-native panther grouper (*Chromileptes altivelis*) in the Atlantic using a cellular automata approach. *PLoS one*, 8(9).

Johnston MW, Purkis SJ (2014a) Lionfish in the eastern Pacific; a cellular automaton approach to assessing invasion risk. *Biological Invasions*, 16(12), 2681-2695. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10530-014-0696-z>

Johnston MW, Purkis SJ (2014b) Are lionfish set for a Mediterranean invasion? Modelling explains why this is unlikely to occur. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 88 (1–2), 138–147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.09.013>

Jud ZR, Layman CA, Lee JA, Arrington AD (2011) Recent invasion of a Florida (USA) estuarine system by lionfish *Pterois volitans/P. miles*. *Aquatic Biology*, 13(1), 21-26.

Kimball ME, Miller JM, Whitfield PE, Hare JA (2004) Thermal tolerance and potential distribution of invasive lionfish(*Pterois volitans/miles* complex) on the east coast of the United States. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 283, 269-278.

Kool JT, Paris CB, Andréfouët S, Cowen RK (2010) Complex migration and the development of genetic structure in subdivided populations: an example from Caribbean coral reef ecosystems. *Ecography*, 32, 1-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06012.x

Leis, JM and Hay, AC and Sasal, P and Hicks, AS and Galzin, R, Pelagic to demersal transition in a coral-reef fish, the orbicular batfish *Platax orbicularis*, Journal of Fish Biology, 83, (3) pp. 466-479. ISSN 0022-1112 (2013).

Lewin R (1987) Ecological invasions offer opportunities. Science, 238: 752-753.

Luiz OJ, Floeter SR, Rocha LA, Ferreira CEL (2013) Perspectives for the lionfish invasion in the South Atlantic: Are Brazilian reefs protected by the currents? Mar Ecol Prog Ser, 485, 1-7 .

Marte CL (1980) The food and feeding habit of *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius collected from Makato River, Aklan, Philippines (Decapoda Natantia). Crustaceana 38:225-236.

Morris JA, Akins JL, Barse A, Cerino D, Freshwater DW, et al. (2009) Biology and ecology of the invasive lionfishes, *Pterois miles* and *Pterois volitans*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute.

Morris JA, Shertzer KW, Rice JA (2011) A stage-based matrix population model of invasive lionfish with implications for control. Biological Invasions, 13(1), 7-12.

Morris Jr JA, Whitfield PE (2009) Biology, ecology, control and management of the invasive Indo-Pacific lionfish: an updated integrated assessment.

Motoh H (1981) Studies on the fisheries biology of the giant tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon* in the Philippines. (Technical Report No. 7). Tigbauan, Iloilo, Philippines: Aquaculture Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center.

Paris CB, Cowen RK (2004) Direct evidence of a biophysical retention mechanism for coral reef fish larvae. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49(6), 1964-1979.

Paris CB, Cowen RK, Claro R, Lindeman, KC (2005) Larval transport pathways from Cuban snapper (Lutjanidae) spawning aggregations based on biophysical modeling. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 296, 93-106.

Prasad TG, Hogan PJ (2007) Upper-ocean response to Hurricane Ivan in a 1/25° nested Gulf of Mexico HYCOM. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 112(C4), C04013. doi: 10.1029/2006JC003695.

Richards VP, Thomas JD, Stanhope MJ, Shivji MS (2007) Genetic connectivity in the Florida reef system: comparative phylogeography of commensal invertebrates with contrasting reproductive strategies. *Molecular Ecology*, 16(1), 139-157.

Richardson H, Nemeth DJ (1991) Hurricane-borne African locusts (*Schistocerca gregaria*) on the windward islands. *GeoJournal* 23:349–357.

Schofield PJ (2010) Update on geographic spread of invasive lionfishes (*Pterois volitans* [Linnaeus 1758] and *P. miles* [Bennett 1828]) in the Western North Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean Sea and Gulf of Mexico. *Aquatic Invasions* 5(Supplement 1): S117-S122.

Semmens BX, Buhle ER, Salomon AK, Pattengill-Semmens CV (2004) A hotspot of non-native marine fishes: evidence for the aquarium trade as an invasion pathway. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 266(1), 239-244.

Stokstad E (2004) Plant pathologists gear up for battle with dread fungus. *Science* 306: 1672–1673.

Sugama K, Ismi S, Kawahara S, Rimmer M (2003) Improvement of larval rearing technique for Panther grouper, *Cromileptes altivelis*. *Aquaculture Asia*, 8(3), 34-37.

Teague WJ, Jarosz E, Wang DW, Mitchell DA (2007) Observed oceanic response over the upper continental slope and outer shelf during Hurricane Ivan*. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 37(9), 2181-2206.

Accepted Article
Wang DW, Mitchell DA, Teague WJ, Jarosz E, Hulbert MS (2005) Extreme waves under Hurricane Ivan, Science, 309, 896, doi:10.1126/science.1112509.

Webster PJ, Holland GJ, Curry JA, Chang HR (2005) Changes in tropical cyclone number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment. Science, 309(5742), 1844-1846.

Whitfield PE, Gardner T, Vives SP, Gilligan MR, Courtenay Jr. WR, Ray GC, Hare, JA (2002) Biological invasion of the Indo-Pacific lionfish *Pterois volitans* along the Atlantic coast of North America. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 235, 289-297.

Wilkinson C (Ed.) (2008) Status of coral reefs of the world: 2008 (p. 298). Townsville: Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network.

USGS NAS (2014) United States Geological Survey -Nonindigenous Aquatic Species database (USGS NAS). <http://nas.er.usgs.gov> (Accessed 29 January 2014).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Water flow in the Florida Straits in hurricane vs. non-hurricane times. Water flow in the Florida Straits, denoted by arrows for water flow direction and red (fast) to blue (slow) scale for water velocity. Water flow during an average year (a), during hurricanes Jeanne (b), and Wilma (c).

Fig. 2. Storms and water flow in the Florida Straits for the 1992 through 2005 storm seasons.

Hurricane activity affecting the Florida Straits (d) spanning the years 1992 to 2004 (a, d). Daily analysis of hurricane data in the Florida Straits for the years 2004 (b) and 2005 (c) hurricane seasons. Color of the plot lines indicates the direction of water flow. The path of hurricanes during the 2004 and 2005 seasons (e). Storms impacting the Florida Straits are indicated via black boxes (b, c). Each box spans 12 days. Graduated dots (a-c) indicate the intensity of storms and are color-coded, matching the storm path as indicated in (d) and (e).

Fig. 3. Model Algorithmic Decision Logic Flowchart. Flowchart illustrating the process logic of the model.

Fig. 4. Analysis of the difference in population sizes in hurricane years versus non-hurricane years.

The x-axis indicates the date in years, and the left y-axis indicates the average population difference for hurricane simulations from the non-hurricane simulation. The percentage difference of the total is shown on y-axis on the right of the graph. The inset sub-figures present detailed plots for the hurricane seasons of the years 2004 and 2005.

Fig. 5. USGS-NAS lionfish records and the population progression according to the simulations. USGS-NA lionfish records through the year 2007 (a). Yellow dots indicate records prior to 2004, orange dots signify the years 2004 and 2005, and red dots illustrate the years 2006 and 2007. The 'French curve'

highlights the moving lionfish invasion-front in km from South Florida. Settled lionfish populations are indicated via square symbols for the non-hurricane (b) and hurricane (c) simulations.

Fig. S1. Monthly-mean water flow in the Florida Straits for the year 2006. Monthly-mean analysis of ocean current direction (a) and velocity (b) in the Florida Straits for the year 2006. The color of the plot lines indicates the direction of water flow.

Table 1. Physical Oceanic Parameters Used in the Model. Oceanic parameters, resolution, and original sources of data used for inputs in this study.

Parameter Name	Resolution	Source and Description
<i>Ocean Current</i>	10 km	Monthly mean current values from the HYCOM (GLBa0.08 project) for the year 2006, and daily data were harvested for the years 2004-2005 storm seasons. Ocean Surface Current Analysis (OSCAR) monthly mean current values for the year 2006 were used and interpolated to a resolution of 10 km where HYCOM data was incomplete (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002).
<i>Sea Surface Temperature (SST)</i>	4 km	Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)-Aqua satellite climatological data (composite monthly mean values) for the years

		2003 to 2012.
<i>Ocean Depth</i>	4 km	ETOPO1 is a 1 arc-minute global relief model of Earth's surface that integrates land topography and ocean bathymetry (Amante and Eakins, 2009).

Table 2. Parameter Inputs Used in the Model. Parameter description, values, justification, and original sources of parameter data used for the model inputs.

Parameter Name	Value	Justification	Source
<i>Founder</i>	24.817° N -79.683°	Western Bahamas location adjacent to South Florida	
<i>Location</i>	W		
<i>Cycles (months)</i>	96	Simulations were created spanning the years 2000 – 2007, the estimated time frame in which lionfish settled the Bahamas and populations expanded	
<i>Grid Size</i>	10 km	Parameter granularity of the interpolated HYCOM ocean current dataset	
<i>SST Lower Thermal</i>	10° C	Documented lower thermal tolerance of invasive lionfish	Kimball et al., 2004

<i>Limit</i>			Albins
<i>Depth Range</i>	1 - 300 m	Estimated depth range of invasive lionfish	and Hixon, 2011
<i>Egg Duration (d_e)</i>	3 days	Estimated egg duration of lionfish in the Atlantic	Morris et al., 2011
<i>Larval Duration (d)</i>	28 days	Estimated larval duration of Atlantic lionfish	Morris et al., 2011
<i>Mortality (M_e, M_l, M_j, M_a)</i>	0.31 d ⁻¹ (M _e , M _l), 0.165 m ⁻¹ (M _j), 0.052 m ⁻¹ (M _a)	Estimated mortality rates of Atlantic lionfish	Morris et al., 2011
<i>Female Proportion</i>	46%	Sex ratio of Atlantic lionfish	Morris et al., 2011
<i>Breeding Age</i>	10 months	Estimated maturation of Atlantic lionfish, given larger size and faster growth in the Atlantic than where they are native	Ahrenholz and Morris, 2010
<i>Larval Quantity(q)</i>	200,000	Breeding occurs as often as every 4 days. Estimated monthly quantity of eggs per female, per month	Morris et al., 2011

<i>Founder Population</i>	150	Small founder population located in the western Bahamas	
<i>Monthly Breeding Cycle</i>	30 days	Larval quantity (q) is based on total quantity per month, and so the cycle has been set to one month accordingly	Morris et al., 2009









